

**Clingerman, Paul R CTR USN NAVFAC WASHINGTON DC (USA)**

---

**From:** Banister, Stephen D CIV NAVFAC SW  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 21, 2018 10:11 AM  
**To:** 'LEE, LILY'  
**Cc:** Chesnutt, John; 'Walker.Stuart@epa.gov'; Liscio, Matthew P CIV SEA 04, NAVSEA DET RASO; Edwards, Zachary L CIV SEA 04 04N; Janda, Danielle L CIV (danielle.janda@navy.mil); Robinson, Derek J CIV NAVFAC HQ, BRAC PMO; 'Nguyen, Lyndsey'; 'Doug Bielskis'; Travis Williamson - Battelle (williamsont@battelle.org); Craig Bias (cbias@remwerks.com)  
**Subject:** PRG 5YR HPNS  
**Attachments:** HPNS Structures Remedial Goals-Risk Report.pdf; HPNS Soil Remedial Goals-Risk Report.pdf  
**Signed By:** STEPHEN.BANISTER@NAVY.MIL  
**Importance:** High

Hi Lily,

We would like to discuss the attached documents on Monday Nov 26th at 1pm PST. I realize we're offering a short window for review and its right around the holiday, so please let me know if this will be a problem. I'll be calling in from Florida. We will send out a meeting invite to follow up on this email.

Have a great Thanksgiving!

V/r,  
Stephen Banister P.G.  
Office 619.524.6040  
Cell [REDACTED]

**Draft**  
**Report of Structure Remedial Goals and Estimated Excess Cancer**  
**Risk Relationships**  
**Former Hunters Point Naval Shipyard, San Francisco, California**

**Prepared under:**  
**Contract No. N62583-11-D-0515**  
**Task Order No. 096**

**Prepared by:**  
**BATTELLE**  
**505 King Ave.**  
**Columbus, OH 43201**

**November 21, 2018**

## CONTENTS

1	Introduction .....	3
2	Use of the BPRG Calculator .....	3
2.1	Media Concentration Adjustments .....	4
2.1.1	Branching Fraction .....	4
2.1.2	Radon Emanation Factor .....	5
2.1.3	Removable Fraction .....	5
2.2	Exposure Time Adjustment .....	5
2.3	Dissipation Rate Adjustment .....	7
3	Estimated Risks at the 2006 Structures Remediation Goals .....	8
4	Summary .....	9
5	References .....	10
	Attachments .....	10

## TABLES

Table 1.	Radionuclide Activities Inputs to EPA BPRG Calculator .....	6
Table 2.	Pre-and Post-Treatment Results for Concrete Floors and Walls (NAVFAC, 2018) .....	8
Table 3.	Estimated Excess Cancer Risks at the 2006 Structures Remediation Goals .....	9

## 1 Introduction

This report describes the estimated excess cancer risks associated with remediation goals (RGs) of current structures as designated in the 2006 Action Memorandum (AM) (NAVFAC, 2006) for the former Hunters Point Naval Shipyard (HPNS) in San Francisco, California. HPNS was placed on the National Priorities List in 1989 and the Department of the Navy (DON) has been undertaking response actions under its Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) authority in each parcel. The structure RGs were derived based on limits in Regulatory Guide 1.86 (AEC, 1974) or 25 mrem/year using RESRAD-BUILD, Version 3.3. AMs before the one issued in 2006 were published in 2000 and 2001 and assumed commercial reuse scenarios only. Current structures RGs for each of the ROCs are presented in **Table 1**.

Estimations of cancer risks associated with the current HPNS RGs for each of the ROCs in structures were accomplished using current Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) methodology and EPA's Preliminary Remediation Goals for Radionuclides in Buildings (BPRG) Calculator (available online at [https://epa-bprg.ornl.gov/cgi-bin/bprg\\_search](https://epa-bprg.ornl.gov/cgi-bin/bprg_search); last updated in May 2018), which has been modified significantly since DON issuance of the 2006 structure RGs. Use of the BPRG calculator, input parameters, and results are summarized below. BPRG calculator outputs are provided as attachments.

## 2 Use of the BPRG Calculator

The EPA BPRG calculator can be used with default or site-specific parameter values. Default parameter values often result in over-conservative risk estimates and are most appropriate to develop screening values. Site-specific parameter values are appropriate to more accurately estimate a site's resultant excess cancer risk to receptors and where it falls relative to the risk management range that is considered protective under CERCLA ( $10^{-6}$  to  $10^{-4}$ ). Site-specific adjustments were made to the input activity concentrations (activities), exposure time distributions, and dissipation rate constant as described in the following sections.

While using the BPRG calculator, the following options were selected:

- Select Scenario: Indoor Worker
- Select Media: Dust
- Select BPRG Type: Site-specific
  - Select Isotope Info Type: User-provided
- Select Risk Output: Yes
- Select Individual Isotopes: ROCs, see **Table 1**
- BPRG output options: select "Provide results for progeny throughout chain (with decay)"
- Hit Retrieve
- Media Concentrations: see **Section 2.1** and **Table 1**
- Indoor Worker Exposure to Settled Dust on Surfaces:
  - $ET_{iw,h} = 8$  hr/day (see **Section 2.2**)
  - $ET_{iw,s} = 0$  hr/day (see **Section 2.2**)
  - $k = 0.032$  (see **Section 2.3**)
- Hit Retrieve

The Indoor Worker-Dust scenario was selected because it represents the most probable receptor exposure for activities in the remaining structures on HPNS. Indoor worker exposures result from

incidental ingestion of loose dust contamination and from external exposure to loose and fixed dust on surfaces. To account for decay of the parents and progenies during the exposure period, the BPRG output option, “Provide results for progeny throughout chain (with decay)” was used for all calculations. Each exposure pathway was assessed separately with different input activities as described in Section 2.1. Input activity concentrations were adjusted using branching fractions, radon emanation factors and removable activity fractions as in **Sections 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3**, respectively. Adjustments to exposure time distributions and dissipation are described in **Sections 2.2 and 2.3**, respectively.

## 2.1 Media Concentration Adjustments

The term radionuclide refers to any nuclide that is unstable and undergoes radioactive decay. Radioactive decay is the spontaneous transformation of the unstable nuclide (parent) into one or more nuclides (daughters or progenies) with an accompanying release of energy or particles. The production of these progenies is referred to as “ingrowth.” For a given radionuclide, the rate of decay is characterized as the half-life ( $T_{1/2}$ ) and is the average time for half of the initial radionuclide activity (in picocuries, pCi) to decay.

“Radioactivity” or, in short, “activity” ( $A$ ), is the rate of radioactive decay, i.e., the number of nucleus transformations per unit time, and is directly proportional to the number of unstable nuclei in a source. The units of activity are curies (Ci) or becquerels (Bq). One Bq represents one disintegration (decay or transformation) per second (dps), and 1 Ci =  $3.7\text{E}+10$  Bq. One Ci involves a large number of transformations; therefore, a smaller unit, pCi, is often used, which is equivalent to  $1\text{E}-12$  Ci. Activity is also commonly reported in disintegrations per minute (dpm). Activity concentration of a radionuclide is defined as the radionuclide activity (in pCi or dpm) per area of a contaminated surface (e.g., pCi/cm<sup>2</sup> or dpm/100 cm<sup>2</sup>).

Progenies can either be stable or radioactive. If the progeny is radioactive, decay will continue until a stable nuclide is reached. This series of decay is referred to as a radionuclide decay chain. In some cases, the risk from the progeny may near or exceed that of the parent and, in these cases, it is important to evaluate the ingrowth and the activities of each radionuclide in a decay chain.

To account for the ingrowth and loss of progenies, dust concentrations were adjusted using the branching fraction and radon emanation factor which are described below. In addition, for ingestion risk only, the dust concentration also is adjusted for the removable fraction of surface activity as these risks are determined only from the ingestible, or removable, portion of surface activity. For external risks, the dust concentration is only adjusted using the branching fraction and radon emanation factor. Note also that because the structure RGs are provided in units of dpm/100 cm<sup>2</sup>, a unit conversion factor of 1 pCi/2.22 dpm was applied.

For each ROC and progeny, the media concentration entered into BPRG calculator was the product of the applicable 2006 structure RG (dpm/100 cm<sup>2</sup>), branching fraction, radon emanation factor, removable fraction (ingestion only) and the unit conversion factor (1 pCi/2.22 dpm).

### 2.1.1 Branching Fraction

To allow the maximum time for ingrowth of progenies from radioactive sources containing the ROCs in Table 1, sources are assumed to have been placed into use at HPNS in 1946 with the establishment of the Radiation Laboratory, the predecessor to the Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory (NRDL). The period from 1946 to date, plus an assumed 26-year exposure period of near-term residents, is

approximately 100 years. Any progeny reaching at least 10 percent of its parent's activity within this 100-year period was considered significant and was included in the pathway analysis modeling. To determine these activities, unit activities (1 pCi) for each ROC were entered in the Decay Chain Activity Projection Tool (<https://rais.ornl.gov/cgi-bin/chain/chain.pl>) developed by Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL). Progenies are produced via a specific mode of decay of their parent radionuclides. The probability that the parent decays by that mode is called the branching fraction. For example,  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  decays 94.7% of the time to radioactive  $^{137\text{m}}\text{Ba}$  and only 5.3% of the time to the stable  $^{137}\text{Ba}$ . The branching fraction of  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  decay to  $^{137\text{m}}\text{Ba}$  is then 0.947 and for each 1 pCi/g of  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ , there can exist no more than 0.947 pCi/g of  $^{137\text{m}}\text{Ba}$ . ROC and progeny branching fractions are presented in **Table 1**.

### 2.1.2 Radon Emanation Factor

Radon ( $^{222}\text{Rn}$ ) is a progeny formed in the decay chain of  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ . As a gas, a portion of  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  escapes  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ -contaminated dust into air and is lost, called radon emanation. Because of this loss, the activity of all  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  progenies is reduced to the fraction of  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  that remains, called the radon emanation factor,  $F$ . The EPA BPRG calculator does not supply a term for radon emanation. The losses are accounted for by adjusting the input concentrations of the  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  chain. In structures,  $F$  for radon is 0.4 (40%) as reported in EPA (2014) (Question #17).

Similarly, Thoron, or Radon-220 ( $^{220}\text{Rn}$ ), is a progeny formed in the decay chain of  $^{232}\text{Th}$ . As a gas, a portion of  $^{220}\text{Rn}$  escapes a  $^{232}\text{Th}$ -contaminated dust and is lost, such that the activity of all  $^{220}\text{Rn}$  progenies is reduced to the fraction of  $^{220}\text{Rn}$  that remains. The losses are accounted for by adjusting the input concentrations of the  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  chain. In structures,  $F$  for thoron is 0.02 (2%) as reported in EPA (2014) (Question #17). Progeny emanation factors are presented in **Table 1**.

### 2.1.3 Removable Fraction

Total radionuclide activity on surfaces is typically comprised of both fixed and removable contamination. The portion in each area that is removable, transferable, smearable or loose under normal working conditions is the removable fraction. While the total surface activity contributes to receptor external exposure risks, only the removable activity contributes to their ingestion risks. The 2006 structure RGs are the total activity (sum of fixed and removable) RGs with the removable fraction RG being limited to 20% of the total RG. Two concentrations are therefore presented in **Table 1** as input to the BPRG calculator, one to determine ingestion risks and the other to determine external exposure risks.

## 2.2 Exposure Time Adjustment

In an 8-hour work day, the BPRG calculator assumes an indoor worker is exposed to contaminated dust on soft surfaces (e.g., carpets or rugs) and on hard surfaces (e.g., concrete, tile or wood) for four hours each. All soft surfaces in HPNS structures have, or will be, removed so there is no exposure to contaminated soft surfaces. To maintain the same total eight hours of exposure, the exposure time to soft surfaces was added to that for hard surfaces. As a result:

- Exposure time, indoor worker-hard ( $ET_{iw,h}$ ) = 8 hr/day
- Exposure time, indoor worker-soft ( $ET_{iw,s}$ ) = 0 hr/day

**Table 1. Radionuclide Activities Inputs to EPA BPRG Calculator**

ROC	Progeny	2006 Structures RG (dpm/100 cm <sup>2</sup> )	Branching Fraction	Radon Emanation Factor	BPRG Ingestion Input Activity (Dust Concentration) (pCi/cm <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>1</sup>	BPRG External Exposure Input Activity (Dust Concentration) (pCi/cm <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>
Americium (Am)-241 ( <sup>241</sup> Am)		100	1		0.0901	0.4505
Cesium (Cs)-137 ( <sup>137</sup> Cs)		5,000	1		4.505	22.52
	Barium (Ba)-137 ( <sup>137m</sup> Ba)	5,000	0.944		4.252	21.26
Cobalt (Co)-60 ( <sup>60</sup> Co)		5,000	1		4.505	22.52
Europium (Eu)-152 ( <sup>152</sup> Eu)		5,000	1		4.505	22.52
Eu-154 ( <sup>154</sup> Eu)		5,000	1		4.505	22.52
Tritium, H-3 ( <sup>3</sup> H)		5,000	1		4.505	22.52
Plutonium (Pu)-239 ( <sup>239</sup> Pu)		100	1		0.0901	0.4505
	Uranium (U)-235m ( <sup>235m</sup> U)	100	0.997		0.0898	0.4489
Radium (Ra)-226 ( <sup>226</sup> Ra)		100	1		0.0901	0.4505
	Radon (Rn)-222 ( <sup>222</sup> Rn)	100	1		0.0901	0.4505
	Polonium (Po)-218 ( <sup>218</sup> Po)	100	1	0.4	0.0360	0.1802
	Lead (Pb)-214 ( <sup>214</sup> Pb)	100	1	0.4	0.0360	0.1802
	Bismuth (Bi)-214 ( <sup>214</sup> Bi)	100	1	0.4	0.0360	0.1802
	Polonium (Po)-214 ( <sup>214</sup> Po)	100	1	0.4	0.0360	0.1802
	Lead (Pb)-210 ( <sup>210</sup> Pb)	100	0.926	0.4	0.0334	0.1668
	Bismuth (Bi)-210 ( <sup>210</sup> Bi)	100	0.926	0.4	0.0334	0.1668
	Polonium (Po)-210 ( <sup>210</sup> Po)	100	0.926	0.4	0.0334	0.1668

**Table 1 (continued). Radionuclide Activities Inputs to EPA BPRG Calculator**

ROC	Progeny	2006 Structures RG (dpm/100 cm <sup>2</sup> )	Branching Fraction	Radon Emanation Factor	BPRG Ingestion Input Activity (Dust Concentration) (pCi/cm <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>1</sup>	BPRG External Exposure Input Activity (Dust Concentration) (pCi/cm <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>
Strontium (Sr)-90 ( <sup>90</sup> Sr)		1,000	1		0.9009	4.505
	Yttrium (Y)-90 ( <sup>90</sup> Y)	1,000	0.998		0.8991	4.496
Thorium (Th)-232 ( <sup>232</sup> Th)		36.5	1		0.0329	0.1644
	<sup>228</sup> Ra	36.5	1		0.0329	0.1644
	Actinium (Ac)-228 ( <sup>228</sup> Ac)	36.5	1		0.0329	0.1644
	<sup>228</sup> Th	36.5	1		0.0329	0.1644
	<sup>224</sup> Ra	36.5	1		0.0329	0.1644
	<sup>220</sup> Rn	36.5	1		0.0329	0.1644
	<sup>216</sup> Po	36.5	1	0.01	0.0007	0.0033
	<sup>212</sup> Pb	36.5	1	0.01	0.0007	0.0033
	<sup>212</sup> Bi	36.5	1	0.01	0.0007	0.0033
	<sup>212</sup> Po	36.5	1	0.01	0.0004	0.0021
	Thallium (Tl)-208 ( <sup>208</sup> Tl)	36.5	1	0.01	0.0002	0.0012
Uranium (U)-235 ( <sup>235</sup> U)		488	1		0.4396	2.198
	<sup>231</sup> Th	488	1		0.4396	2.198

<sup>1</sup> BPRG ingestion dust input activity (pCi/cm<sup>2</sup>) = 2006 Structures RG (dpm/100 cm<sup>2</sup>) x branching fraction x radon emanation factor x removable fraction (0.20) x pCi/2.22 dpm

<sup>2</sup> BPRG external exposure dust input activity (pCi/cm<sup>2</sup>) = 2006 Structures RG (dpm/100 cm<sup>2</sup>) x branching fraction x radon emanation factor x pCi/2.22 dpm

### 2.3 Dissipation Rate Adjustment

Surface dust concentrations, both the fixed and removable portions, decrease over time from cleaning, wear and transfers to skin or clothing. These source term losses are quantified with the dissipation rate constant,  $k$ . The default value in the BPRG calculator for  $k$  is 0.0 year<sup>-1</sup> which assumes the dust source is replenished from an infinite source reservoir after each loss (dissipation). However, contaminated dust is not replenished in HPNS structures since radiological operations have been ceased for a significant period. The amount of contaminated dust lost per year was estimated from measurements of alpha contamination in Building 5/5A at Alameda Point, Alameda, California (NAVFAC, 2018). During final status surveys (FSS), Aptim Federal Services, LLC (Aptim) measured alpha surface activities at several locations on concrete floors and walls both before and after treatment with a strippable coating or with paint thinner. Treatments involving other methods (e.g., scabbling) were considered aggressive, not representative of normal cleaning and wear events at HPNS and were not included. The common ROCs between HPNS and the Building 5/5A FSS include <sup>137</sup>Cs, <sup>90</sup>Sr and <sup>226</sup>Ra. Measurements included one-minute readings at systematic locations both before and after treatment. The difference between the



pre- and post-treatment results is the amount of activity removed. The percentage of activity removed is the treatment effectiveness and, for these purposes, was considered the maximum amount of activity that could be removed from that location and still be representative of the constant  $k$ . To be conservative, the mean treatment effectiveness (80.1%) was divided by the total exposure period of 25 years to yield a site-specific value of  $k = 0.032 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ . This assumes that a regular, annual amount of cleaning or wear on a given surface would cumulatively result in the same amount of surface dust as a single, targeted treatment. The draft FSS results and summary statistics used to derive  $k$  are presented in **Table 2**.

**Table 2. Pre-and Post-Treatment Results for Concrete Floors and Walls (NAVFAC, 2018)**

Survey Unit	Location Number	Surface Type	Pre-treatment Alpha Activity (dpm/100 cm <sup>2</sup> )	Post-treatment Alpha Activity (dpm/100 cm <sup>2</sup> )	Removed Alpha Activity (dpm/100 cm <sup>2</sup> )	Removed Alpha Activity (%)
82	9	Concrete floor	403.5	87.7	315.8	78.3
82	10	Concrete floor	403.5	157.9	245.6	60.9
<b>83</b>	18	Concrete floor	315.8	70.2	245.6	77.8
86	9	Concrete wall	877.2	122.8	754.4	86.0
100-1	6	Concrete wall	283.3	33.3	250.0	88.2
100-1	13	Concrete wall	250.0	0	250.0	100.0
100-2	5	Concrete floor	250.0	50.0	200.0	80.0
100-2	24	Concrete wall	266.7	83.3	183.4	68.8
110	9	Concrete wall	363.3	36.4	326.9	90.0
110	13	Concrete wall	436.4	127.3	309.1	70.8
Minimum						60.9
Maximum						100.0
Median						79.1
Mean						80.1

### 3 Estimated Risks at the 2006 Structures Remediation Goals

The resultant excess cancer risks for each ROC, progeny and exposure pathway are presented in **Table 3**, were they to be present at the RG under the aforementioned conditions. For each radionuclide chain, the total excess cancer risk is the sum of risks from each pathway and each radionuclide. The total risks fall within, or below, the risk management range for each ROC modeled at the 2006 structure RG. Note that the RGs are exclusive of (i.e., do not include) radionuclide-specific background concentrations.

**Table 3. Estimated Excess Cancer Risks at the 2006 Structures Remediation Goals**

ROC	Progeny	Ingestion Risk	External Exposure Risk	Total Risk
<sup>241</sup> Am		1.02E-05	3.24E-08	1.0E-05
<sup>137</sup> Cs		1.38E-04	3.73E-08	
	<sup>137m</sup> Ba	0	1.25E-11	
<i>Total <sup>137</sup>Cs</i>		<i>1.38E-04</i>	<i>3.73E-08</i>	<i>1.4E-04</i>
<sup>60</sup> Co		1.22E-05	5.66E-05	6.9E-05
<sup>152</sup> Eu		9.22E-06	5.12E-05	6.0E-05
<sup>154</sup> Eu		1.11E-05	4.18E-05	5.3E-05
<sup>3</sup> H		1.38E-07	0	1.4E-07
<sup>239</sup> Pu		1.38E-05	3.64E-10	
	<sup>235m</sup> U	1.84E-18	0	
<i>Total <sup>239</sup>Pu</i>		<i>1.38E-05</i>	<i>3.64E-10</i>	<i>1.4E-05</i>
<sup>226</sup> Ra		3.34E-05	1.10E-08	
	<sup>222</sup> Rn	0	3.75E-13	
	<sup>218</sup> Po	0	1.28E-21	
	<sup>214</sup> Pb	2.96E-14	4.65E-13	
	<sup>214</sup> Bi	1.47E-14	1.99E-12	
	<sup>214</sup> Po	0	1.58E-23	
	<sup>210</sup> Pb	1.76E-05	7.81E-10	
	<sup>210</sup> Bi	1.25E-10	2.51E-12	
	<sup>210</sup> Po	1.33E-06	1.25E-13	
<i>Total <sup>226</sup>Ra</i>		<i>5.23E-05</i>	<i>1.18E-08</i>	<i>5.2E-05</i>
<sup>90</sup> Sr		4.40E-05	4.97E-09	
	<sup>90</sup> Y	3.50E-09	1.25E-10	
<i>Total <sup>90</sup>Sr</i>		<i>4.40E-05</i>	<i>5.10E-09</i>	<i>4.4E-05</i>
<sup>232</sup> Th		3.53E-06	2.10E-10	
	<sup>228</sup> Ra	8.79E-06	9.04E-11	
	<sup>228</sup> Ac	1.45E-12	2.00E-11	
	<sup>228</sup> Th	2.94E-07	1.35E-10	
	<sup>224</sup> Ra	2.04E-09	3.37E-12	
	<sup>220</sup> Rn	0	3.71E-17	
	<sup>216</sup> Po	0	4.70E-23	
	<sup>212</sup> Pb	8.15E-13	1.13E-13	
	<sup>212</sup> Bi	2.61E-15	8.55E-15	
	<sup>212</sup> Po	0	0	
	<sup>208</sup> Tl	0	4.37E-15	
<i>Total <sup>232</sup>Th</i>		<i>1.26E-05</i>	<i>4.59E-10</i>	<i>1.3E-05</i>
<sup>235</sup> U		2.74E-05	1.20E-06	
	<sup>231</sup> Th	8.48E-11	1.80E-11	
<i>Total <sup>235</sup>U</i>		<i>2.74E-05</i>	<i>1.20E-06</i>	<i>2.9E-05</i>

## 4 Summary

This report describes the estimated excess cancer risks associated with exposures to radionuclide-contaminated surfaces, at the former HPNS. The excess cancer risks (**Table 3**) from indoor worker

exposures to surfaces contaminated with dust at concentrations equal to the 2006 structure RGs are demonstrated to be within, or below, the CERCLA risk management range of  $10^{-4}$  to  $10^{-6}$ .

## 5 References

Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFAC), Southwest. 2018. *Draft – Final Status Survey Report, Building 5/5A, Alameda Point, Alameda, California*. February.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2014. *Radiation Risk Assessment at CERCLA Sites: Q & A*. Directive 9200.4-40. Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation. Washington, DC. May.

Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFAC), Southwest. 2006. *Final – Basewide Radiological Removal Action: Action Memorandum – Revision 2006, Hunters Point Shipyard, San Francisco, CA*. April.

Atomic Energy Commission (AEC). 1974. Regulatory Guide 1.86. *Termination of Operating Licenses for Nuclear Reactors*. June.

## Attachments

EPA BPRG Calculator ingestion output for  $^{241}\text{Am}$ ,  $^{60}\text{Co}$ ,  $^{152}\text{Eu}$ ,  $^{154}\text{Eu}$  and  $^3\text{H}$ :  
*IW\_bprg\_26OCT2018\_bprg9237\_Am Co Eu H ingestion RG k=0.032.pdf*

EPA BPRG Calculator external exposure output for  $^{241}\text{Am}$ ,  $^{60}\text{Co}$ ,  $^{152}\text{Eu}$ ,  $^{154}\text{Eu}$  and  $^3\text{H}$ :  
*IW\_bprg\_26OCT2018\_bprg9237\_Am Co Eu H external RG k=0.032.pdf*

EPA BPRG Calculator ingestion output for  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ ,  $^{239}\text{Pu}$ ,  $^{90}\text{Sr}$  and  $^{235}\text{U}$  : *IW\_bprg\_26OCT2018\_bprg9237\_Cs Sr Pu U ingestion RG k=0.032.pdf*

EPA BPRG Calculator external exposure output for  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ ,  $^{239}\text{Pu}$ ,  $^{90}\text{Sr}$  and  $^{235}\text{U}$  :  
*IW\_bprg\_26OCT2018\_bprg9237\_Cs Sr Pu U external RG k=0.032.pdf*

EPA BPRG Calculator ingestion output for  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ : *IW\_bprg\_26OCT2018\_bprg9237\_Ra ingestion RG k=0.032.pdf*

EPA BPRG Calculator external exposure output for  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ : *IW\_bprg\_26OCT2018\_bprg9237\_Ra external RG k=0.032.pdf*

EPA BPRG Calculator ingestion output for  $^{232}\text{Th}$ : *IW\_bprg\_26OCT2018\_bprg9237\_Th ingestion RG k=0.032.pdf*

EPA BPRG Calculator external exposure output for  $^{232}\text{Th}$ : *IW\_bprg\_26OCT2018\_bprg9237\_Th external RG k=0.032.pdf*

**Draft**  
**Report of Soil Remedial Goals and Estimated Excess Cancer Risk**  
**Relationships**  
**Former Hunters Point Naval Shipyard, San Francisco, California**

**Prepared under:**  
**Contract No. N62583-11-D-0515**  
**Task Order No. 096**

**Prepared by:**  
**BATTELLE**  
**505 King Ave.**  
**Columbus, OH 43201**

**November 21, 2018**

## CONTENTS

1	Introduction .....	3
2	Use of the PRG Calculator .....	3
2.1	Media Concentration Adjustments.....	4
2.1.1	Branching Fraction .....	4
2.1.2	Radon Emanation Factor.....	5
2.2	Site Area for Area Correction Adjustment .....	5
2.3	City (or Climatic Zone) Adjustment.....	5
3	Estimated Excess Cancer Risks at the 2006 Soil Remediation Goals .....	7
4	Summary .....	9
5	References .....	9
	Attachments.....	9

## TABLES

Table 1. Radionuclide Activities Inputs to EPA PRG Calculator.....	6
Table 2. Estimated Excess Cancer Risks at the 2006 Soil Remediation Goals.....	7

## 1 Introduction

This report describes the estimated excess cancer risks associated with current soil residential remediation goals (RGs) as designated in the 2006 Action Memorandum (AM) (NAVFAC, 2006) for the former Hunters Point Naval Shipyard (HPNS) in San Francisco, California.

HPNS was placed on the National Priorities List in 1989 and the Department of the Navy (DON) has been undertaking response actions under its Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) authority in each parcel. Current soil RGs for each of the radionuclides of concern (ROCs) are presented in **Table 1**. The RGs were derived considering the 1991 Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) decay-corrected preliminary remediation goals (PRG) (EPA, 1991), past action memoranda, and an agreement with EPA for radium (Ra)-226 ( $^{226}\text{Ra}$ ). Action memoranda before the one issued in 2006 were published in 2000 and 2001 and assumed commercial reuse scenarios only.

The EPA's Preliminary Remediation Goals (PRG) for Radionuclides Calculator (available online at [https://epa-prgs.ornl.gov/cgi-bin/radionuclides/rprg\\_search](https://epa-prgs.ornl.gov/cgi-bin/radionuclides/rprg_search); last updated in May 2018) has been used here to estimate cancer risks associated with the current HPNS RGs. Use of the PRG Calculator, input parameters, and results are summarized below. PRG Calculator outputs are provided as attachments.

## 2 Use of the PRG Calculator

The EPA PRG calculator can be used with default or site-specific parameter values. Default parameter values often result in over-conservative risk estimates and are most appropriate to develop screening values. Site-specific parameter values are appropriate to more accurately estimate a site's resultant excess cancer risk to receptors and where it falls relative to the risk management range that is considered protective under CERCLA ( $10^{-6}$  to  $10^{-4}$ ). The EPA PRG calculator was used, with site-specific adjustments, to estimate the cancer risk associated with the 2006 Residential Soil RGs. Site-specific adjustments were made to the input activity concentrations (activities), site area size and the city as described in the following sections.

While using the PRG calculator, the following options were selected:

- Select Scenario: Resident
- Select Media: Soil
- Select PRG Type: Site-specific
  - Select Isotope Info Type: Database hierarchy defaults
- Select Risk Output: Yes
- Show Individual Produce PRG Output: No
- Select Individual Isotopes: ROCs, see **Table 1**
- PRG output options: select "Provide results for progeny throughout chain (with decay)"
- Hit Retrieve
- User-provided Inputs: Half Life values changed for short-lived progenies as discussed below
- Media Concentrations: see **Section 2.1** and **Table 1**
- Resident Exposure to Soil:
  - Site area for area correction factor (ACF): 2,000 m<sup>2</sup> (see **Section 2.2**)
  - Clean soil thickness for GSF<sub>o</sub>: 0 cm
  - Clean soil thickness for GSF<sub>b</sub>: 0 cm
- Particulate Emission Factor Wind Driven:
  - City (Climatic Zone): San Francisco, CA (see **Section 2.3**)

- Acres: 0.5 acres
- V (fraction of vegetative cover): 0.5
- Resident Exposure to Produce: Default Resident
- Select Produce Items to Include: Deselect Toggle All (removes produce from output)
- Hit Retrieve

The Resident Soil scenario was selected because it is historically the most protective scenario and is consistent with the anticipated reuse of portions of HPNS. Onsite resident exposure results from incidental ingestion of soil, inhalation of fugitive dust, and external exposure to soil contaminant radiation. To account for decay of the parents and progenies during an assumed 26-year exposure period, the PRG output option, “Provide results for progeny throughout chain (with decay)” was used for all calculations. Input activity concentrations were adjusted using branching fractions and radon emanation factors as in **Section 2.1.1 and 2.1.2**. Adjustments to the site area for ACF and the city are described in **Section 2.2 and 2.3**. The consumption of home-grown produce was suppressed based on projected institutional controls by unchecking the “Toggle All” box in the Resident Exposure to Produce section.

## 2.1 Media Concentration Adjustments

The term radionuclide refers to any nuclide that is unstable and undergoes radioactive decay. Radioactive decay is the spontaneous transformation of the unstable nuclide (parent) into one or more nuclides (daughters or progenies) with an accompanying release of energy or particles. The production of these progenies is referred to as “ingrowth.” For a given radionuclide, the rate of decay is characterized as the half-life ( $T_{1/2}$ ) and is the average time for half of the initial radionuclide activity (in picocuries, pCi) to decay.

“Radioactivity” or, in short, “activity” ( $A$ ), is the rate of radioactive decay, i.e., the number of nucleus transformations per unit time, and is directly proportional to the number of unstable nuclei in a source. The units of activity are curies (Ci) or becquerels (Bq). One Bq represents one disintegration (decay or transformation) per second (dps), and  $1 \text{ Ci} = 3.7\text{E}+10 \text{ Bq}$ . One Ci involves a large number of transformations; therefore, a smaller unit, pCi, is often used, which is equivalent to  $1\text{E}-12 \text{ Ci}$ . Activity concentration of a radionuclide is defined as the activity (in Ci or Bq) per mass or volume of environmental media (e.g., pCi/g, pCi/L).

Progenies can either be stable or radioactive. If the progeny is radioactive, decay will continue until a stable nuclide is reached. This series of decay is referred to as a radionuclide decay chain. In some cases, the risk from the progeny may near or exceed that of the parent and, in these cases, it is important to evaluate the ingrowth and the activities of each radionuclide in a decay chain.

To account for the ingrowth and loss of progenies, two factors were used to adjust the 2006 Soil RGs for use in the PRG calculator. The Branching Fraction and the Radon Emanation Factor are described below. The calculator input activity (i.e., media concentration for the PRG calculator) is then the product of the applicable 2006 Soil RG, branching fraction and radon emanation factor for each ROC and progeny.

### 2.1.1 Branching Fraction

To allow the maximum time for ingrowth of progenies from radioactive sources containing the ROCs in Table 1, sources are assumed to have been placed into use at HPNS in 1946 with the establishment of the Radiation Laboratory, the predecessor to the Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory (NRDL). The

period from 1946 to date, plus an assumed 26-year exposure period of near-term residents, is approximately 100 years. Any progeny reaching at least 10 percent of its parent's activity within this 100-year period was considered significant and was included in the pathway analysis modeling. To determine these activities, unit activities (1 pCi) for each ROC were entered in the Decay Chain Activity Projection Tool (<https://rais.ornl.gov/cgi-bin/chain/chain.pl>) developed by Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL). Progeny are produced via a specific mode of decay of their parent radionuclides. The probability that the parent decays by that mode is called the branching fraction. For example,  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  decays 94.7% of the time to radioactive  $^{137\text{m}}\text{Ba}$  and only 5.3% of the time to the stable  $^{137}\text{Ba}$ . The branching fraction of  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  decay to  $^{137\text{m}}\text{Ba}$  is then 0.947 and for each 1 pCi/g of  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ , there can exist no more than 0.947 pCi/g of  $^{137\text{m}}\text{Ba}$ . ROC and progeny branching fractions are presented in **Table 1**.

### 2.1.2 Radon Emanation Factor

Radon ( $^{222}\text{Rn}$ ) is a progeny formed in the decay chain of  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ . As a gas, a portion of  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  escapes  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ -contaminated soil into air and is lost, called radon emanation. Because of this loss, the activity of all  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  progenies is reduced to the fraction of  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  that remains, called the radon emanation factor,  $F$ . The EPA PRG calculator does not supply a term for radon emanation. The losses are accounted for by adjusting the input concentrations of the  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  chain. In soil,  $F$  for radon ranges usually between 10-40% with typical values of 20%: see page 123 in [http://resrad.evs.anl.gov/docs/data\\_collection.pdf](http://resrad.evs.anl.gov/docs/data_collection.pdf).

Similarly, Thoron or Radon-220 ( $^{220}\text{Rn}$ ) is a progeny formed in the decay chain of  $^{232}\text{Th}$ . As a gas, a portion of  $^{220}\text{Rn}$  escapes a  $^{232}\text{Th}$ -contaminated soil and is lost, such that the activity of all  $^{220}\text{Rn}$  progenies is reduced to the fraction of  $^{220}\text{Rn}$  that remains. Thoron emanation loss fractions in soil were not found in the literature but were estimated from the ratio of  $^{222}\text{Rn}$ -to- $^{220}\text{Rn}$  losses found in buildings. The standard value of  $F$  in buildings is 0.4 for  $^{222}\text{Rn}$ , and 0.02 for  $^{220}\text{Rn}$ , as reported in EPA (2014) (Question #17). This  $0.4/0.02 = 20$  ratio of  $^{222}\text{Rn}$ -to- $^{220}\text{Rn}$  losses results in a value of  $F = 0.20/20 = 0.01$  for  $^{220}\text{Rn}$  in soil. Progeny emanation factors are presented in **Table 1**.

## 2.2 Site Area for Area Correction Adjustment

The default areal extent of the site or contamination ( $A_s$ ) is 0.5 acres ( $\sim 2,000 \text{ m}^2$ ) in the PRG calculator. According to the PRG calculator User's Guide, "soil contaminated to a depth greater than about 15 cm and with an aerial extent greater than about  $1,000 \text{ m}^2$  will create a radiation field comparable to that of an infinite slab" and therefore the site area for area correction variable ( $2,000 \text{ m}^2$ ) was set equal to the areal extent of the contamination (0.5 acres). This is a conservative assumption as it implies that the radionuclide concentration over the entire 0.5 acres is equal to the RG.

## 2.3 City (or Climatic Zone) Adjustment

The default Climatic Zone is Zone 29 and was changed to Zone 26 for San Francisco, CA.



**Table 1. Radionuclide Activities Inputs to EPA PRG Calculator**

ROC	Progeny	Branching Fraction	Radon Emanation Factor	PRG Input Activity (Media Concentration) (pCi/g) <sup>1</sup>
Americium (Am)-241 ( <sup>241</sup> Am)		1		1.36
Cesium (Cs)-137 ( <sup>137</sup> Cs)		1		0.113
	Barium (Ba)-137 ( <sup>137m</sup> Ba)	0.944		0.107
Cobalt (Co)-60 ( <sup>60</sup> Co)		1		0.036
Europium (Eu)-152 ( <sup>152</sup> Eu)		1		0.13
Eu-154 ( <sup>154</sup> Eu)		1		0.23
Tritium, H-3 ( <sup>3</sup> H)		1		2.28
Plutonium (Pu)-239 ( <sup>239</sup> Pu)		1		2.59
	Uranium (U)-235m ( <sup>235m</sup> U)	0.997		2.58
Radium (Ra)-226 ( <sup>226</sup> Ra)		1		1.00
	Radon (Rn)-222 ( <sup>222</sup> Rn)	1		1.00
	Polonium (Po)-218 ( <sup>218</sup> Po)	1	0.2	0.200
	Lead (Pb)-214 ( <sup>214</sup> Pb)	1	0.2	0.200
	Bismuth (Bi)-214 ( <sup>214</sup> Bi)	1	0.2	0.200
	Polonium (Po)-214 ( <sup>214</sup> Po)	1	0.2	0.200
	Lead (Pb)-210 ( <sup>210</sup> Pb)	0.926	0.2	0.185
	Bismuth (Bi)-210 ( <sup>210</sup> Bi)	0.926	0.2	0.185
	Polonium (Po)-210 ( <sup>210</sup> Po)	0.926	0.2	0.185
Strontium (Sr)-90 ( <sup>90</sup> Sr)		1		0.331
	Yttrium (Y)-90 ( <sup>90</sup> Y)	0.998		0.330
Thorium (Th)-232 ( <sup>232</sup> Th)		1		1.69
	<sup>228</sup> Ra	1		1.69
	Actinium (Ac)-228 ( <sup>228</sup> Ac)	1		1.69
	<sup>228</sup> Th	1		1.69
	<sup>224</sup> Ra	1		1.69
	<sup>220</sup> Rn	1		1.69
	<sup>216</sup> Po	1	0.01	0.017
	<sup>212</sup> Pb	1	0.01	0.017
	<sup>212</sup> Bi	1	0.01	0.017
	<sup>212</sup> Po	1	0.01	0.017
	Thallium (Tl)-208 ( <sup>208</sup> Tl)	1	0.01	0.017
Uranium (U)-235 ( <sup>235</sup> U)		1		0.195
	<sup>231</sup> Th	1		0.195

<sup>1</sup> PRG input activity (pCi/g) = 2006 Soil RG (pCi/g) x branching fraction x radon emanation factor

### 3 Estimated Excess Cancer Risks at the 2006 Soil Remediation Goals

The resultant excess cancer risks for each ROC, progeny and exposure pathway are presented in **Table 2** were they to be present at the RG under the aforementioned conditions. For each radionuclide chain, the total excess cancer risk is the sum of risks from each pathway and each radionuclide. The total risks fall within, or below, the risk management range for each ROC modeled at the 2006 Soil RG. Note that the RGs are exclusive of (i.e., do not include) radionuclide-specific background concentrations.

**Table 2. Estimated Excess Cancer Risks at the 2006 Soil Remediation Goals**

ROC	Progeny	Ingestion Risk	Inhalation Risk	External Exposure Risk	Total Risk
<sup>241</sup> Am		2.75E-07	7.26E-10	2.78E-07	5.5E-07
<sup>137</sup> Cs		4.05E-09	1.38E-13	3.24E-10	
	<sup>137m</sup> Ba			5.63E-13	
Total <sup>137</sup> Cs					4.4E-09
<sup>60</sup> Co		4.36E-10	1.48E-14	9.31E-07	9.3E-07
<sup>152</sup> Eu		1.17E-09	1.99E-13	2.86E-06	2.9E-06
<sup>154</sup> Eu		2.74E-09	2.86E-13	4.16E-06	4.2E-06
<sup>3</sup> H		1.21E-10	9.61E-06	0	9.6E-06
<sup>239</sup> Pu		6.61E-07	2.08E-09	4.68E-09	
	<sup>235m</sup> U	1.31E-19	1.91E-25		
Total <sup>239</sup> Pu					6.7E-7
<sup>226</sup> Ra		7.54E-07	4.04E-10	1.56E-07	
	<sup>222</sup> Rn	0	1.91E-17	7.3E-12	
	<sup>218</sup> Po	0	1.31E-20	3.48E-21	
	<sup>214</sup> Pb	5.02E-16	6.35E-19	4.06E-12	
	<sup>214</sup> Bi	1.9E-16	3.75E-19	2.26E-11	
	<sup>214</sup> Po	0	0	1.67E-22	
	<sup>210</sup> Pb	2.63E-07	3.14E-11	1.59E-09	
	<sup>210</sup> Bi	4.1E-12	1E-15	2.93E-12	
	<sup>210</sup> Po	1.54E-08	8.82E-13	1.43E-12	
Total <sup>226</sup> Ra					1.2E-06

**Table 2 (continued). Estimated Excess Cancer Risks at the 2006 Soil Remediation Goals**

ROC		Progeny	Ingestion Risk	Inhalation Risk	External Exposure Risk
<sup>90</sup> Sr		2.38E-08	1.51E-12	9.25E-10	
	<sup>90</sup> Y	7.39E-12	1.63E-17	2.20E-11	
Total <sup>90</sup> Sr					2.5E-08
<sup>232</sup> Th		3.48E-07	1.06E-09	5.23E-09	
	<sup>228</sup> Ra	1.14E-06	3.25E-10	1.53E-10	
	<sup>228</sup> Ac	3.63E-13	4.68E-17	1.98E-09	
	<sup>228</sup> Th	4.88E-08	3.43E-10	7.28E-09	
	<sup>224</sup> Ra	4.48E-10	1.54E-13	2.42E-10	
	<sup>220</sup> Rn	0	2.75E-21	3.35E-15	
	<sup>216</sup> Po	0	0	2.30E-21	
	<sup>212</sup> Pb	8.07E-14	1.04E-17	3.75E-12	
	<sup>212</sup> Bi	2.03E-16	1.76E-19	3.93E-13	
	<sup>212</sup> Po	0	0	0	
	<sup>208</sup> Tl	0	0	2.63E-13	
Total <sup>232</sup> Th					1.6E-06
<sup>235</sup> U		3.22E-08	7.04E-11	6.72E-07	
	<sup>231</sup> Th	2.10E-13	6.84E-19	5.95E-12	
Total <sup>235</sup> U					7.0E-07

## 4 Summary

This report describes the estimated excess cancer risks associated with residential exposures to radionuclide-contaminated surface soils at the former HPNS. The excess cancer risks (**Table 2**) from resident exposures to soils contaminated at concentrations equal to the 2006 RGs are demonstrated to be within, or below, the CERCLA risk management range of  $10^{-4}$  to  $10^{-6}$ .

## 5 References

United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2014. *Radiation Risk Assessment at CERCLA Sites: Q & A*. Directive 9200.4-40. Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation. Washington, DC. May.

Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFAC), Southwest. 2006. *Final – Basewide Radiological Removal Action: Action Memorandum – Revision 2006, Hunters Point Shipyard, San Francisco, CA*. April.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1991. Role of the Baseline Risk Assessment in Superfund Remedy Selection Decisions. OSWER DIRECTIVE 9355.0-30. April 22.

## Attachments

EPA PRG Calculator output for  $^{241}\text{Am}$ ,  $^{60}\text{Co}$ ,  $^{152}\text{Eu}$ ,  $^{154}\text{Eu}$  and  $^3\text{H}$ : *Resident\_rad\_prg\_22OCT2018\_Am Co Eu H\_2000 m2 ACF\_no cover\_SFO at RGs.pdf*

EPA PRG Calculator output for  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ ,  $^{239}\text{Pu}$ ,  $^{90}\text{Sr}$  and  $^{235}\text{U}$ : *Resident\_rad\_prg\_22OCT2018\_Cs Sr Pu U\_2000 m2 ACF\_no cover\_SFO at RGs.pdf*

EPA PRG Calculator output for  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ : *Resident\_rad\_prg\_22OCT2018\_Ra\_2000 m2 ACF\_no cover\_SFO at RGs.pdf*

EPA PRG Calculator output for  $^{232}\text{Th}$ : *Resident\_rad\_prg\_22OCT2018\_Th\_2000 m2 ACF\_no cover\_SFO at RGs.pdf*